UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/618,459	07/11/2003	Marshall T. Denton	2078-5372US	3865			
²⁴²⁴⁷ TRASK BRIT	7590 1 0 /09/2007 T	,	EXAMINER				
P.O. BOX 255	0	GANEY, S	GANEY, STEVEN J				
SALT LAKE	CITY, UT 84110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		3752					
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
			10/09/2007	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTOMail@traskbritt.com

, , 🗢	Best Available Copy					
		Application No.		Applicant(s		
		10/618,459		DENTON, N	ARSHALLI	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Steven J. Ganey		3752	1	
۔۔ ا Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover she	eet with the	corresponden	ce address	
A SHOP WHICH - Extensic after SD - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMN 38(a). In no event, however, r will apply and will expire SIX (6, cause the application to become	NICATIO may a reply be to me ABANDONI	Mindly filed in the mailing date of ED (35 U.S.C. § 13	f this; communit	
Status					· ;	
2a)∐ T 3)∐ S	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u> his action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This ince this application is in condition for allowar osed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		1111121.03	to the meri	is is
Disposition	n of Claims	:		# 15 TO	н	
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw laim(s) is/are allowed. claim(s) <u>1,8,9,14-18 and 21-23</u> is/are rejected. claim(s) <u>2-7,10-13,19,20,24 and 25</u> is/are objection(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration				
Application	n Papers			10 m	a' i	
10)⊠ Th A R	ne specification is objected to by the Examine ne drawing(s) filed on <u>05 June 2006</u> is/are: a) pplicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ drawing(s) be held in a tion is required if the dra	beyance. Se awing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85 bjected to: See	(a)! 87 CFR 1.1	医乳桂二醇 化二烷酸
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$		
a) [cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received s have been received rity documents have u (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	i in Applica been receil	tion No. red in this Nat	THE METERS ASSESSED.	
		والمعاورين المنتقل والمراك			r	
2) Notice of Not	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date	Pape			·	
S. Patent and Trade TOL-326 (Rev		ction Summary		Part of Paper N	o./Mail Date 0	92707
					i Paglabeta	31.5

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on June 5,12006, which has been fully considered in this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Riley et al.

Riley et al discloses a container/bottle 114; a resilient element 106 to form self-biased engagement with a wall of the cylindrical portion at a plurality of locations.

With respect to applicant's statements of intended use, i.e. (to permit suspension of the bottle by the resilient element in a receiving socket of a storage device), the resilient element of Riley et al is capable of performing applicant's intended use, therefore, the claims are fully anticipated.

- 3. Claims 14 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schreiber.

 Schreiber discloses a bottle 12; a pump mechanism 14 and pump head 34; a malleable and deformable conduit 16 and nozzle 18.
- 4. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rockefeller.

Rockefeller discloses a bottle 1; a pump mechanism 11 and pump head 21; a malleable and deformable conduit 24 and nozzle 22.

Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

5. Claim 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heine

Heine discloses a an atomizer assembly comprising a deformable extension conduit A; nozzle H; and a pump mechanism K and pump head I.

As to claims 22 and 23, note multilumen conduit A, with a first lumen conduit E and a wire F in as second conduit lumen G.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically discidsed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cobb.

Cobb discloses a fluid atomizer comprising a bottle/container, 12; a spray head 24; a conduit 66 with an atomizing nozzle end 64; and a brace 32a/34a/52a, except for the brace being used for a pump type fluid atomizer. See Figures 9 and 10. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the brace of Cobb for a pump type atomizer since such a modification is merely the substitution of one pressurizing means for another and the brace of Cobb would perform equally as well on a pump type sprayer as well as the pressurized sprayer as disclosed. As shown in Figure 1A, the end of the conduit by the nozzle resists motion, therefore the up and down motion of a pump sprayer would not effect the end of the conduit by the nozzle.

Art Unit: 3752

8. Claims 9 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cobb in view of Holcomb.

Cobb discloses a fluid atomizer as discussed above, except for the conduit comprising a distal portion that is user deformable to orient a direction of the nozzle. Holcomb discloses a spray nozzle assembly comprising a spray head 12 with a deformable conduit 18 connected to a fluid nozzle 20 that can be oriented in different directions. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a conduit that can be deformable in the apparatus of Cobb, as taught by Holcomb, since with such a modification the end of the conduit containing the nozzle can be put in different positions to facilitate spraying hard to reach areas.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 2-7, 10-13, 19, 20, 24 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments, see pages 8-16, filed June 5, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-25 under 35 U.S.C. 102b) and 35 U.S.C. under 103(a) to Chaffin et al, Resnick, Cobb and Haberl have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Cobb, Riley et al, Rockefeller, Schrieber, Holcomb and Heine:

Art Unit: 3752

. Page 5

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven J. Ganey whose telephone number is 671-272 4899. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-5:00; M, Tu, W and Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent.

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000

sjg 9/27/07

STEVEN J. GANEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
9/27/07